





# UPDATE ON PATHOGENESIS & TREATMENT OF ADPKD

David Harris 20/11/19



## Imaging

Genetics

Pathogenesis

## Treatment

**Disease modifying drugs** 

Water

**Basic optimised management** 

# IMAGING

# Evaluation of <u>ultrasonographic</u> diagnostic criteria for autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease 1

David Ravine, Robert N Gibson, Rowan G Walker, Leslie J Sheffield, Priscilla Kincaid-Smith, David M Danks



#### TABLE. PEI-RAVINE CRITERIA FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF ADPKD BY RENAL ULTRASOUND EXAMINATION\*2

Age (years)	Positive family history <sup>†</sup>	Number of renal cysts required to establish diagnosis	PPV, sensitivity	Number of renal cysts required to exclude diagnosis
15–29	Yes	≥3 (unilateral or bilateral)	PPV = 100%, sensitivity = 81.7%	Normal ultrasound does not exclude the diagnosis
30–39	Yes	≥3 (unilateral or bilateral)	PPV = 100%, sensitivity = 82–96%	Normal ultrasound does not exclude the diagnosis
40–59	Yes	≥4 (at least 2 in each kidney)	PPV = 100%, sensitivity = 90%	<2 (NPV = 100%, specificity = 98.2%)
≥60	Yes	≥8 (at least 4 in each kidney)	PPV = 100%, sensitivity = 100%	<2
Any age <sup>‡</sup>	No	≥10 cysts in each kidney with renal enlargement ± hepatic cysts		NA

ABBREVIATIONS: ADPKD = autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease; NA = not applicable; NPV = negative predictive value; PPV = positive predictive value.

\* Criteria based on the use of conventional 3-5 MHz ultrasound probe with cyst size typically being above 1 cm in diameter. CT, MRI and more sensitive ultrasound probes detect smaller cysts and therefore the above criteria are not applicable to these imaging modalities.

<sup>†</sup> Genotype unknown.

<sup>‡</sup>Criteria based on expert opinion.



#### class stable

## **Typical vs atypical disease**

	Class	Sub- class	Term	Description
95%	1 Typical ADPKD			Cyst distribution is bilateral and diffuse with relatively even contribution to TKV
5%	2 Atypical ADPKD	A	Unilateral	Normal contralateral kidney with ≤2 cysts
			Asymmetric	Mild involvement of contralateral kidney with 3–9 cysts and <30 % of TKV
			Segmental	Involvement only one pole of one or both kidneys
			Lop-sided	Mild replacement of kidney tissue with ≤5 cysts accounting for ≥50% TKV
		В	Bilateral presentation w/ acquired unilateral atrophy	Atrophy of contralateral kidney
			Bilateral presentation w/ bilateral kidney atrophy	Length <14.5 cm, atrophy of parenchyma and SCr ≥ =1.5 mg/dL





### **TKV as a Prognostic Biomarker**

CT & MR useful for differential diagnosis and to establish prognosis TKV to monitor disease progression requires high precision



TKV is a good predictor at earlier stages, GFR better during late stages





- Large gene 16p13.3, 53kB, 46 exons, 15 kB mRNA
- encodes polycystin-1 protein, a transmembrane receptor for an unknown ligand
- **No hot spots**; mutations throughout the gene, mutation of gene 5' portion *may* have more severe phenotype
- **Numerous mutations**: Mayo Clinic Database >2300 mutations
- Mutation screening labour-intensive (only 30% of mutations are recurrent)
- **Pseudogenes (**highly homologous but generally non-functional copies of genes)



- Smaller gene 4q21-q23, 15 exons, 5 kB mRNA
- encodes polycystin-2 protein, calcium ion channel
- Mutations throughout the gene
- >200 mutations

(truncated protein, unique to a single family; missense mutations much less common)

# Heterogeneity due to affected gene and allele



Cornec-Le Gall JASN 2013;24:1006





#### PKD1

- 47 year old male
- Cr 300 μmol/L
- eGFR 20 ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>
- CKD Stage 4

#### PKD2

- 72 year old female
- Cr 77 μmol/L
- eGFR 66 ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>
- CKD Stage 2

## **Next Generation Sequencing in PKD**

- Options for testing
  - Single gene
  - Targeted panel
  - Exome: WES, 1% 30Mb, detects 98% of known PKD1 variants, potential ascertainment bias
  - Genome: WGS, 3Gb, needed to detect 2%, copy number variants, structural variants, other variant types
- Multiple genes associated with PKD: genomic approaches allow testing of all of these in one go
- Other genes
  - GANAB (glucosidase II alpha subunit) & DNAJB11: small bilateral kidney cysts
  - HNF1b: MODY
  - UMOD: ADTKD-UMOD
  - MUC1: MCD
- 10% of families with mild ADPKD, no mutation is detected:
  - deep intronic mutations, extra-genic mutations, another locus (? probably not), wrong diagnosis – phenocopy
- up to 10% of patients have a negative family history (even after parental U/S screening), and 25% of these cases are due to de novo mutations

# **Indications for Genetic Testing**

- NOT the first line diagnostic test
- When a *definitive diagnosis* is required in young persons
  - eg potential living-related donor in an affected family with equivocal imaging data
  - predictive testing in adults
- To provide *diagnostic clarity* 
  - eg a negative family history of ADPKD when alternative causes of cystic kidney disease are considered
- In couples requesting *genetic counselling & family planning*
- In cases of marked *clinical discordance*, eg very early onset disease or very mild disease

# Genetic counselling in ADPKD informed consent essential

## Result

Nothing found: wrong genes, wrong technology, or condition not genetic VOUS (variant of uncertain significance) difference found ?normal ?pathogenic

uninformative for diagnosis or predictive testing of family Pathogenic mutation:

diagnosis made

inheritance - can test other family members

recurrence risk – options for family planning

## **Emotional impact:**

certainty vs uncertainty, implications for family, unexpected result

## **Pragmatic aspects:**

insurance (voluntary moratorium on use of result), future employment

# PATHOGENESIS

## Genetic & environmental effects on polycystin protein dosage explains rate of ADPKD cyst progression



Lanktree & Chapman. *NRN* 2017;127

# Factors relevant to the prediction of disease progression in ADPKD



#### Ong ACM et al. Lancet 2015;385: 993–2002



#### Bankir L, Bichet DG. KI 2019;96:19–22

# TREATMENT

## Why treat ADPKD?



Symptom	Prevalence
Back pain	51%
Often, usually, always	20%
Abdominal pain	28%
Prescription pain meds	12%

Dicks 2006 CJASN 1:710

Miskulin 2014 AJKD 63:214

## Tolvaptan attenuates early-stage human ADPKD TEMPO 3:4

#### A Total Kidney Volume



C Kidney Function

Torres et al. N Engl J Med 2012

## Tolvaptan attenuates early-stage human ADPKD TEMPO 3:4

#### B Risk of ADPKD-Related Composite Events



#### D Risk of Clinically Significant Kidney Pain



Octoment		ТР				Mean eGFR change			
Catego	ſy	(N)	(N)				Т	P	Difference
Age (y)	≥ 55	572	569		⊢●		-3.07	-4.60	1.54
	> 55	96	94	⊢●			-2.54	-2.34	-0.20
Gender	Female	327	341				-2.89	-4.13	1.23
	Male	341	322		┝━━┤		-3.09	-4.43	1.34
Race	Caucasian	614	610		●		-2.97	-4.34	1.37
	Non-Caucasian	54	53		• I		-3.29	-3.54	0.25
Baseline eGFR	< 45	432	423				-3.45	-4.35	0.90
(CKD-EPI)	> 45	236	240				-2.20	-4.11	1.91
CKD Stage	CKD 2	31	38		•		-2.81	-4.65	1.84
	CKD 3a	206	196		├──●		-2.13	-4.49	2.36
	CKD 3b	294	304		├──●──┤		-3.20	-3.99	0.78
	CKD 4	137	125				-3.80	-4.60	0.81
Region	US	286	282		⊢_●		-2.88	-4.14	1.26
	Non-US	382	381		⊢●┤		-3.09	-4.38	1.29
All patients		668	663		⊢●⊣		-2.34	-3.61	1.27
	-6	-4		-2	0 2	4	6		
			Fa	vors placebo	Favors tolvapta	in →			

Treatment Difference ± 95% CI (Tolvaptan vs. Placebo)

## Torres VE et al. REPRISE. NEJM 2017

## eGFR & age can identify patients that benefit from tolvaptan



Pts >55 yr did not benefit in REPRISE because 1A or 1B

# Tolvaptan

#### **CRITERIA FOR PERSCRIPTION**

age 18-55

eGFR 30-89 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> AND

rapidly progressing disease

eGFR decline  $\geq$  5 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> in 1y or,  $\geq$  2.5 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> pa in 5y (PBS) Mayo class 1C-1E (+/- 1B after observation)

## CONTRAINDICATIONS

inability to handle aquaretic side effects (up to 9L!; occupation or lifestyle) hypotension & hypovolemia pregnancy & lactation uncorrected hypernatremia inability to sense or respond to thirst significant liver injury not due to PLD (elevated AST/ALT in 6%) urinary tract obstruction

### DOSE

Start with lowest dose available, esp. if well-preserved GFR Titrate up, aiming for first morning U<sub>Osm</sub> < 280-300



# **Targets of Treatment**

## Current targets

- Cell signals driving proliferation: vaptans, somatostatin analogues, mTOR inhibitors, metformin, venglustat, tyrosine kinase inhibitors
- Uncertain mechanism of action: bardoxolone, pravastatin, PPARγ agonists
- Future targets
  - Metabolic reprogramming: Warburg, caloric restriction
  - Inflammation
  - *Epigenetic mechanisms*: nicotinamide
  - Others: Ciliary signals (+ & -), noncoding RNAs, cell cycle regulators, CFTR, crystal deposition

Alan Yu. University of Kansas Medical Center

**Clinical Trials in ADPKD** 

NIH U.S. National Library of Medicine *ClinicalTrials.gov* 

Accessed 12th Sept 2019

#### **Multiple Small Molecule Interventions**

Molecule	Mechanism of Action	Repurposed	Phase	Sponsor
Lixivaptan	V2R antagonist	Yes	Phase 2	Palladio Bio
Tesevatinib	Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor	Yes	Phase 2	Kadmon
Venglustat	Glucosylceramide synthase inhibitor	Yes	Phase 2/3	Sanofi-Genzyme
Bardoxolone	Nrf2 inhibitor	Yes	Phase 2	Reata
Pravastatin	HMG CoA Inhibitor	Yes	Phase 4	Uni Colorado
Metformin	AMPK Inhibitor	Yes	Phase 4	Tufts, Uni Colorado, AKTN

#### **Public Health Interventions**

Intervention	Mechanism of Action	Primary Outcome	Sponsor	
Caloric Restriction	Multiple (AMPK, TORC)	Feasibility	University of Colorado	
Niacinamide	Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor	TKV	University of Kansas	
Water*	Reduction of vasopressin release	TKV	Westmead Hospital	
Water	Reduction of vasopressin release	TKV	Rogosin Institute	



#### Chebib FT & Torres VE. CJASN 2018;13

#### (a great review!)

# **Basic optimized ADPKD management**

• BP (1B)

ACEI/ARB first-line, then cardioselective  $\beta$ -blocker consider <110/75 if <50 yr, CV disease

• Liberal water intake (1C)

Uosm <u><</u>280 mosm/kg

24h

- Salt restriction < 80-100mmol/d (1C)
- Protein restriction 0.8-1.0g/kg IBW/d (1C)
- Moderate caloric intake, avoid obesity, regular exercise (1C)
- Avoid high phosphorus diet (2C)
- Acid-base: bicarbonate > 22mmol/l (2B)
- Total cholesterol < 4.0mmol/L (2B)
- No smoking
- Limit caffeine

## Rapid-progressors with preserved kidney function Consider enrolling in a clinical trial!

## **Summary**

US: preferred screening method, Pei-Ravine criteria

MRI (or CT): for differential diagnosis & complications for prognosis (TKV, Mayo classification in typical ADPKD)

TKV early, GFR late

Genetic testing: for diagnostic clarity, genetic counselling (with informed consent!), clinical discordance

Heterogeneity: affected gene & mutation, polycystin dose (genetic & environmental effects), other genes, age, complications

Vasopressin: central role

Disease modifying drugs: esp. vaptans

Water: possible role

Importance of basic optimised management, especially BP

